arXiv:1701.01584v1 [math.NT] 6 Jan 2017

Note on the spectrum of classical and uniform exponents of Diophantine approximation

Antoine MARNAT*
marnat@math.tugraz.at

Abstract

Using the Parametric Geometry of Numbers introduced recently by W.M. Schmidt and L. Summerer [12, 13] and results by D. Roy [9, 10], we establish that the spectrum of the $2n$ exponents of Diophantine approximation in dimension $n \geq 3$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with non empty interior.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, the integer $n \geq 1$ denotes the dimension of the ambient space, $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ denotes an n-tuple of real numbers such that $1, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent.

Let d be an integer with $0 \leq d \leq n-1$. We define the exponent $\omega_d(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ (resp. the uniform exponent $\hat{\omega}_d(\boldsymbol{\theta})$) as the supremum of the real numbers ω for which there exist rational affine subspaces $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\dim(L) = d\ ,\ H(L) \leq H \ \text{ and } \ H(L)d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, L) \leq H^{-\omega}$$

for arbitrarily large real numbers H (resp. for every sufficiently large real number H). Here $H(L)$ denotes the height of L (see [11] for more details), and $d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, L) = \min_{P \in L} d(\boldsymbol{\theta}, P)$ is the minimal distance between $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and a point of L.

These exponents were introduced originally by M. Laurent [6]. They interpolate between the classical exponents $\omega(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \omega_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\lambda(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \omega_0(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ (resp. $\hat{\omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{\omega}_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and $\hat{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{\omega}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta})$) that were introduced by A. Khintchine [4, 5], V. Jarník [3] and Y. Bugeaud

*supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project F5510-N26, which is a part of the Special Research Program "Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications"

and M. Laurent [1, 2].

We have the relations

$$\omega_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \le \omega_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \le \cdots \le \omega_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$
$$\hat{\omega}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \le \hat{\omega}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \le \cdots \le \hat{\omega}_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

and Minkowski's First Convex Body Theorem [8] and Mahler's compound convex bodies theory provide the lower bounds

$$\omega_d(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge \hat{\omega}_d(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ge \frac{d+1}{n-d}, \quad \text{for } 0 \le d \le n-1.$$

These exponents happen to be related. Given k exponents $e_1, \dots, e_k$, we define the *spectrum* of the exponents $(e_1, \dots, e_k)$ as the subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$ described by all k-uples $(e_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \dots, e_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$ as $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ runs through all points $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $1, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent.

In [7], the author proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For every integer $n \ge 3$, the n uniform exponents $\hat{\omega}_0, \dots, \hat{\omega}_{n-1}$ are algebraically independent.*

In terms of spectrum, this means that for every integer $n \ge 3$, the spectrum of $\hat{\omega}_0, \dots, \hat{\omega}_{n-1}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with nonempty interior. In this paper, we extend this result as follows.

Theorem 2. *For every integer $n \ge 3$, the spectrum of $\hat{\omega}_0, \dots, \hat{\omega}_{n-1}, \omega_0, \dots, \omega_{n-1}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with nonempty interior.*

We refer the reader to [7] for the notation and the presentation of the parametric geometry of numbers, main tool of the proof.

2 Proof of the main Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2, we place ourselves in the context of parametric geometry of numbers. We fully use Roy's theorem [7, Theorem 5] that reduces the study of spectra of Diophantine approximation to the study of the combinatorial properties of generalized n-systems. We construct explicitly a family of generalized $(n+1)$-systems with $2n$ parameters, which provides an open set in the spectrum via Roy's theorem.

We fix the dimension $n \ge 3$. Consider the family of parameters

$$A_1 = A_2 < A_3 < \cdots < A_{n+1}\ ,\ B_2 < B_3 < \cdots < B_n\ ,\ C\ ,\ D$$

satisfying the following properties for $2 \leq k \leq n$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_{n+1} = 1 \ , \ B_2 < D < CA_2, \\ A_{k+1} < B_k < A_{k+2} \ , \ B_k < CA_k. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We consider the generalized $(n+1)$-system $\boldsymbol{P}$ on the interval $[1, C]$ depending on the previous parameters whose combined graph is given below by Figure 1, where

$$P_k(1) = A_k \text{ and } P_k(C) = CA_k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n+1.$$



Figure 1: Pattern of the combined graph of $\boldsymbol{P}$ on the fundamental interval $[1, C]$

Conditions (1) are consistent with the graph. From 1 to $\delta_{n,2}$, the combined graph is the same as in [7, §5], then every component P_k from $k = n$ down to $k = 2$ is successively increasing between μ_k and μ_{k-1} to readjust to the value CA_k. The point which will be most relevant for the proof are labeled with black dots.

We extend $\boldsymbol{P}$ to the interval $[1, \infty)$ by self-similarity. This means, $\boldsymbol{P}(q) = C^m \boldsymbol{P}(C^{-m} q)$ for all integer m. In view of the value of $\boldsymbol{P}$ and its derivatives at 1 and C, one sees that the

extension provides a generalized $(n+1)$-system on $[1,\infty)$.

[7, Proposition 1] suggests to define $2n$ quantities $W_{n-1},\dots,W_0,\hat{W}_{n-1},\dots,\hat{W}_0$ by

$$\frac{1}{1+\hat{W}_{n-k}} := \limsup_{q\to+\infty} \frac{P_1(q)+\cdots+P_k(q)}{q} \text{ for } 1\le k\le n,$$
$$\frac{1}{1+W_{n-k}} := \liminf_{q\to+\infty} \frac{P_1(q)+\cdots+P_k(q)}{q} \text{ for } 1\le k\le n.$$

Here, self-similarity ensures that the lim sup (resp. lim inf) is in fact the maximum (resp. the minimum) on the interval $[1,C[$. Note that for $1\le k\le n$, the function $P_1+\cdots+P_k$ has slope 1 on the intervals $[1,\delta_{k,1}]$ and $[\mu_k,C[$, slope $1/2$ on the interval $[\delta_{k,1},\delta_{k,2}]$ and is constant on the interval $[\delta_{k,2},\mu_k]$. Therefore the minimum of the function $q\mapsto q^{-1}(P_1(q)+\cdots+P_k(q))$ is reached at μ_k and its maximum is reached either at $\delta_{k,1}$ or at $\delta_{k,2}$, when slope changes from 1 to $1/2$ or from $1/2$ to 0. Namely, the maximum is reached at $\delta_{k,1}$ if

$$\frac{P_1(\delta_{k,1})+\cdots+P_k(\delta_{k,1})}{\delta_{k,1}} \ge \frac{1}{2} \tag{2}$$

and at $\delta_{k,2}$ if the lefthand side is $\le 1/2$. We deduce that for $1\le k\le n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{W}_{n-k} &= \frac{P_{k+1}(q)+\cdots+P_{n+1}(q)}{P_1(q)+\cdots+P_k(q)} \text{ where } q=\begin{cases}\delta_{k,1} & \text{if (2) is satisfied}\\ \delta_{k,2} & \text{otherwise}\end{cases},\\
W_{n-k} &= \frac{P_{k+1}(\mu_k)+\cdots+P_{n+1}(\mu_k)}{P_1(\mu_k)+\cdots+P_k(\mu_k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the parameters

$$\begin{aligned}
C=3,\ A_1=A_2=2^{-n}, A_k=2^{-n+k-2} &\text{ for } 3\le k\le n+1\\
D=\frac{11}{8}2^{-n}, B_k=\frac{5}{4}2^{-n+k-2} &\text{ for } 2\le k\le n+1
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

satisfy the conditions (1). For this choice of parameters, the lefthand side of inequality (2) is $>1/2$ for $1\le k\le n-1$ and $<1/2$ for $k=n$. This property remains true for $(C,A_2,\dots,A_n,D,B_2,B_3,\dots,B_n)$ in an open neighborhood of the point

$$(3,2^{-n},\dots,2^{-2},\frac{11}{8}2^{-n},\frac{5}{4}2^{-n},\dots,\frac{5}{4}2^{-2})$$

provided that we set $A_1=A_2$ and $A_{n+1}=1-(A_1+\cdots+A_n)$. In this neighborhood, the quantities $W_0,\dots,W_{n-1},\hat{W}_0,\dots,\hat{W}_{n-1}$ are given by the following rational fractions in $\mathbb{Q}(C,A_2,\dots,A_n,D,B_2,B_3,\dots,B_n)$:

$$\hat{W}_{n-1} = \frac{1}{A_2} - 1, \qquad \hat{W}_0 = \frac{1-(2A_2+A_3+A_4+\cdots+A_n}{A_2+(B_2+\cdots+B_{n-1})},$$
$$\hat{W}_{n-k} = \frac{1-(2A_2+A_3+A_4+\cdots+A_{k+1})+B_k}{A_2+(B_2+\cdots+B_k)} \quad \text{for } 2 \le k \le n-1,$$
$$W_{n-k} = \frac{C(1-(2A_2+A_3+A_4+\cdots+A_k))}{A_2+B_2+\cdots+B_k} \quad \text{for } 2 \le k \le n,$$
$$W_{n-1} = \frac{D+C(1-2A_2)}{A_2}.$$

Since $W_0, \ldots, W_{n-1}, \hat{W}_0, \ldots, \hat{W}_{n-1}$ come from a generalized $(n+1)$-system $\boldsymbol{P}$, Roy's Theorem provides the existence of a point $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\hat{\omega}_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \hat{W}_k$ and $\omega_k(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = W_k$ for every $0 \le k \le n-1$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 2 it is sufficient to show that the rational fractions $W_0, \ldots, W_{n-1}, \hat{W}_0, \ldots, \hat{W}_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(C, A_2, A_3, \ldots, A_n, D, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_n)$ are algebraically independent.

First, note that only W_{n-1} depends on D and $\hat{W}_{n-1}$ only depends on A_2. Therefore, it is enough to prove that the $2n-2$ other rational fraction are algebraically independent. For the calculation, it is convenient to successively make the following two change of variables. First, we set

$$M_k := 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_i \ , \ N_k := A_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} B_i \ \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n+1.$$

Note that $M_{n+1} = 1$. We get the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_0 &= \frac{M_n}{N_{n-1}}, \\ W_{n-k} &= \frac{CM_k}{N_k} \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n, \\ \hat{W}_{n-k} &= 1 + \frac{M_{k+1} - N_{k-1}}{N_k} \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we set

$$U_k := \frac{M_k}{N_k} \text{ and } V_k := \frac{M_{k+1}}{N_k} \ \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n+1.$$

Note that $V_1 = 2$ getting the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_0 &= V_{n-1}, \\ W_{n-k} &= CU_k \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n \\ \hat{W}_{n-k} &= 1 + V_k - \frac{U_k}{V_{k-1}} \text{ for } 2 \le k \le n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the $2n-2$ independent parameters $C, A_3, \cdots, A_n, B_2, \cdots, B_n$ provide the $2n-2$ independent parameters $C, U_2, \ldots, U_n, V_2, \ldots, V_{n-1}$. Thus, it is sufficient to show that the rational fractions $W_0, \ldots, W_{n-2}, \hat{W}_0, \ldots, \hat{W}_{n-2} \in \mathbb{Q}(C, U_2, U_3, \ldots, U_n, V_2, V_3, \ldots, V_{n-1})$ are algebraically independent.

Suppose that there exists an irreducible polynomial $R \in \mathbb{Q}(X_1, \ldots, X_{2n-2})$ such that

$$R\left(\hat{W}_0, \ldots, \hat{W}_{n-2}, W_0, \ldots, W_{n-2}\right) = 0.$$

Specializing C in 1, we obtain

$$R\left(V_{n-1}, V_{n-1} + 1 - \frac{U_{n-1}}{V_{n-2}}, \ldots, V_2 + 1 - \frac{U_2}{2}, U_n, \ldots, U_2\right) = 0$$

where the $2n-3$ last rational fractions generate the field $\mathbb{Q}(U_2, \ldots, U_n, V_2, \ldots, V_{n-1})$. Therefore, they are algebraically independent. We investigate their relation with the first coordinate, that will provides R. Observe that for $2 \leq k \leq n-1$,

$$\hat{W}_{n-k} = 1 + V_k - \frac{U_k}{V_{k-1}}$$

provides the relation

$$V_k = \hat{W}_{n-k} - 1 + \frac{W_{n-k}}{V_{k-1}}.$$

Therefore, initializing with

$$V_2 = \hat{W}_{n-2} + 1 - \frac{W_{n-2}}{V_1},$$

where $V_1 = \dfrac{1 - 2A_2}{A_2}$ is a constant, we can compute by recurrence V_k in terms of the rational fractions $\hat{W}_{n-2}, \ldots, \hat{W}_{n-k}, W_{n-2}, \ldots, W_{n-k}$ and the constant V_1 and observe that, if not specialized, it is not homogeneous in C. For $k = n-1$, we have the additional relation $V_{n-1} = \hat{W}_0$ providing a polynomial relation. Up to multiplication by a nonzero constant, this polynomial is R. The contradiction follows from R not being homogeneous in C. □

References

[1] Yann Bugeaud and Michel Laurent. On exponents of homogeneous and inhomogeneous diophantine approximation. *Moscow Math. J.*, 5:747–766, 2005.

[2] Yann Bugeaud and Michel Laurent. Exponents of diophantine approximation. *Diophantine Geometry Proceedings*, 4:101–121, 2007.

[3] Vojtěch Jarník. Zum khintchineschen "Übertragungssatz". *Trav. Inst. Math. Tbilissi*, 3:193–212, 1938.

[4] Alexander Ya. Khinchin. Über eine klasse linearer diophantischer approximationen. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 50*, pages 170–195, 1926.

[5] Alexander Ya. Khinchin. Zur metrischen theorie der diophantischen approximationen. *Math.Z.*, 24:706–714, 1926.

[6] Michel Laurent. On transfer inequalities in Diophantine approximation. In *Analytic number theory*, pages 306–314. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[7] A. Marnat. About Jarník's type relation in higher dimension. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015.

[8] Hermann Minkowski. *Geometrie der Zahlen*. Bibliotheca Mathematica Teubneriana, Band 40. Johnson Reprint Corp., New York-London, 1968.

[9] Damien Roy. On Schmidt and Summerer parametric geometry of numbers. *Ann. of Math.*, 182:739–786, 2015.

[10] Damien Roy. Spectrum of the exponents of best rational approximation. *Math. Z.*, 283:143–155, 2016.

[11] Wolfgang M. Schmidt. On heights of algebraic subspaces and diophantine approximations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 85:430–472, 1967.

[12] Wolfgang M. Schmidt and Leonhard Summerer. Parametric geometry of numbers and applications. *Acta Arithmetica*, 140(1):67–91, 2009.

[13] Wolfgang M. Schmidt and Leonhard Summerer. Diophantine approximation and parametric geometry of numbers. *Monatsch. Math.*, 169(1):51–104, 2013.